

Mek1 Kinase Governs Outcomes of Meiotic Recombination and the Checkpoint Response

Hsin-Yen Wu,^{1,2,3} Hsuan-Chung Ho,^{1,2} and Sean M. Burgess^{1,*}

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, College of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Summary

Background: Homologous recombination promotes proper segregation of chromosomes during meiosis. Programmed double-strand breaks (DSBs) initiate recombination and are repaired preferentially using the homolog rather than the sister chromatid template. In yeast, activation of Mek1 kinase upholds this bias. Mek1 is also a proposed effector kinase in the recombination checkpoint that responds to aberrant DNA and/or axis structures. Elucidating a role for Mek1 in this checkpoint has been difficult, because a *mek1* null mutation causes rapid repair of DSBs using a sister chromatid, thus bypassing formation of checkpoint-activating lesions. Here we analyzed a *MEK1* gain-of-function allele to test if it would enhance interhomolog bias and/or the checkpoint response.

Results: When Mek1 activation was artificially maintained through glutathione S-transferase-mediated dimerization, there was an enhanced skew toward interhomolog recombination and reduction of intersister events, including multichromatid joint molecules. Increased interhomolog events were specifically repaired as noncrossovers rather than as crossovers. Ectopic Mek1 dimerization was also sufficient to impose interhomolog bias in the absence of recombination checkpoint functions, thereby uncoupling these two processes. Finally, the stringency of the checkpoint response was enhanced in mutants with weak recombination defects by blocking prophase exit in a subset of cells in which arrest is not absolute.

Conclusions: We propose that Mek1 plays dual roles during meiotic prophase I by phosphorylating targets directly involved in the recombination checkpoint, as well as targets involved in sister chromatid recombination. We discuss how regulation of pachytene exit by Mek1 or similar kinases could influence checkpoint stringency, which may differ among species and between sexes.

Introduction

Meiosis is a specialized process of cell division whereby one round of DNA replication is followed by two rounds of chromosome segregation to produce gametes for sexual reproduction. During meiotic prophase I, programmed recombination and synapsis facilitate the formation of interhomolog crossovers that promote proper disjunction of homologous chromosomes at the first meiotic division (MI; [1–3]). Recombination

and synapsis culminate in the late prophase stage known as pachytene, which is defined cytologically by the side-by-side alignment of condensed homologous chromosomes connected by the synaptonemal complex [4, 5]. In budding yeast, the structural axis of each homolog is formed by Red1, Hop1, and Mek1, and the central region of the synaptonemal complex is made of Zip1 [6–11]. Notably, pachytene is the last stage of meiotic prophase before cells become committed to undergo MI [12, 13].

Meiotic recombination is a stepwise process initiated by Spo11-induced double-strand breaks (DSBs) and implemented using DNA repair factors within the context of meiotic chromosome architecture [14–16]. A subset of DSBs is repaired by a designated interhomolog crossover pathway that transits through single-end invasion (SEI) and double-Holliday junction (dHJ) intermediates [17–21]. Remaining breaks are repaired using a sister chromatid as a template for repair or by interhomolog repair, resulting in noncrossovers (i.e., events detected as a gene conversion without exchange of flanking markers) that arise from a synthesis-dependent strand-annealing mechanism [18, 22–24]. Finally, there is a minor class of crossovers that are dependent on Mus81/Mms4 [25]. Physical associations between sister chromatids can be detected as well. At least a subset of intersister recombination events involves a dHJ intermediate [17]: multichromatid joint molecules (mcJMs) arise during normal meiosis when two ends of a DSB independently invade different chromatids and/or sequentially invade multiple templates, but they can lead to nonregulated crossing over and missegregation if not processed [26].

The presence of unrepaired DSBs and/or incomplete synapsis activates a recombination checkpoint response that leads to inhibition of Ndt80, a transcription factor required for the exit from pachytene [27, 28]. Recombination-deficient mutants arrest at a pachytene-like stage with compacted chromatin [29]. Repair of DSBs leads to the activation of Ndt80, which then allows for the resolution of dHJs to form crossover products, and finally the completion of MI [18, 20]. Mek1 is a proposed downstream effector kinase of the Mec1/Tel1 (ATR/ATM) signaling that is activated in response to Spo11-induced DSBs [30, 31]. Activation of Mek1 requires the C-terminal domain of Hop1, which itself is a target of Mec1/Tel1 [31, 32]. Unlike other checkpoint mutations that allow division in the presence of unrepaired DSBs [33–41], the *mek1Δ* mutation acts as a bypass suppressor of the prophase I arrest phenotype conferred by *dmc1Δ* by allowing repair of Spo11-induced breaks using the sister chromatid as a substrate [42]. For this reason, the role of Mek1 as a bona fide checkpoint protein has come into question. The role for Mek1 in modulating interhomolog bias is well substantiated; in wild-type cells, activation of Mek1 leads to the phosphorylation and inactivation of Rad54, which is required for sister chromatid recombination [43].

Here we describe a semidominant, gain-of-function allele, *MEK1-GST* (similar to that described by Niu et al., 2005 [32]), that confers phenotypes not previously described: (1) a net gain of interhomolog events that is coupled to a net loss of intersister events, including intersister-dHJ and multichromatid

*Correspondence: smburgess@ucdavis.edu

²These authors contributed equally to this work

³Present address: Department of Biophysics and Biochemistry, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA




Figure 1. *MEK1-GST* Is a Gain-of-Function Allele

(A) Synchronous meiotic cultures of *MEK1-GST* and *MEK1-GST(nd)* were analyzed by immunoblot at the indicated times using antibodies to glutathione S-transferase (GST, top), Clb5 (middle), and Pgk1 (bottom). (B) Percentage of cells in the culture at each time point that have undergone the first meiotic division, as indicated by DAPI staining. (C) Same analysis as in (B), except for the indicated strains. (D) Western blot of cell lysates or Mek1-GST following immunoprecipitation with antibodies to p-T327, GST, or Pgk1 for the indicated strains 4 hr after transfer to sporulation medium (SPM). The levels of T-327 detection for immunoprecipitated Mek1-GST normalized to immunoprecipitated GST for each mutant were compared to Mek1-GST alone. These values are as follows for two independent experiments (the first value corresponds to the figure shown in D, and the second value shown is from cells collected at 4.5 hr after transfer to SPM from an independent time course): *MEK1-GST spo11Δ*: 0.02, not determined; *MEK1-GST red1Δ*: <0.01, not determined; *MEK1-GST*: 1.00, 1.00; *MEK1-GST rad17Δ*: 0.94, 1.22; *MEK1-GST pch2Δ*: 0.88, 1.3; *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ*: 0.60, 0.78. See also [Figure S1](#).

joint molecules; (2) increased levels of interhomolog-noncross-over recombination products that are not associated with either increased DSBs or a change in interhomolog-crossover products; (3) a hyperbarrier to intersister repair; and (4) increased stringency of the output of the recombination checkpoint pathway. Our data support a model in which Mek1 plays dual roles during meiosis I prophase: one is to promote interhomolog bias, and the other is to act as a checkpoint effector that controls exit from pachytene.

Results

MEK1-GST Is a Gain-of-Function Allele

A conserved sequence at the C terminus of Mek1 is implicated in the homodimerization and activation of Mek1 [44]. Here we analyzed the effect of artificial dimerization at the C terminus of Mek1 in meiotic recombination and checkpoint control via a fused GST moiety similar to that described for an N-terminal *GST-MEK1* fusion created by Niu et al., 2005 [32]. As a control, we created an allele *MEK1-GST(nd)* that is mutated at two residues to prevent GST dimerization. In this case, the dimerization of Mek1-GST(nd) is presumably under the control of Hop1, just as it is for wild-type Mek1 [32].

We analyzed Mek1-GST and Mek1-GST(nd) proteins at various time points during meiotic progression in a synchronized cell culture. Both fusion proteins appeared at about the same time (3 hr after transfer of cells to sporulation medium) by immunoblot using an antibody to GST ([Figure 1A](#)). Mek1-GST protein levels were greater and persisted longer

compared to Mek1-GST(nd). Similar results were found using two different antibodies to GST, including one that recognizes an epitope outside of the dimerization domain (data not shown). The Clb5 cyclin, which is normally degraded prior to the first meiotic division (MI), also persisted in the *MEK1-GST* background. MI timing was delayed in *MEK1-GST* compared to wild-type *MEK1* and *MEK1-GST(nd)* strains ([Figures 1B](#) and [1C](#)). The MI delay was semidominant, because a *MEK1-GST/MEK1* heterozygote exhibited an intermediate delay ([Figure 1C](#)). The *MEK1-GST*-induced delay requires *SPO11* and *RED1*, suggesting that Mek1-GST kinase activities require an intact chromosome axis structure (see [Figure S1A](#) available online). Spore viability of *MEK1-GST* and *MEK1-GST(nd)* strains was similar to wild-type ([Table S1](#)). Taken together, *MEK1-GST* exhibits phenotypes consistent with it being a semidominant gain-of-function allele of *MEK1*.

The *MEK1-GST* allele behaves similarly to *GST-MEK1*, described by Niu et al., 2005 and Niu et al., 2007: both alleles give nearly wild-type levels of sporulation and spore viability ([Table S1](#)) [32, 44]. Moreover, phosphorylation of the T327 residue for both GST-Mek1 and Mek1-GST is dependent on genes required for DSB formation, including *RED1* and *SPO11* ([Figure 1D](#)). Notably, we were not able to distinguish whether the phenotypes associated with *MEK1-GST* are due to an increase in the persistence of a signal that is lower or more transient in wild-type cells or whether it creates a toxic gain-of-function effect. We favor the former, because *MEK1-GST* gives wild-type levels of spore viability (96.1% versus 96.5%; [Table S1](#)).




Figure 2. Meiotic Recombination Analysis at the *HIS4::LEU2* Hot Spot in *MEK1-GST* Compared to *MEK1-GST(nd)*

(A) Physical analysis of recombination by Southern blot to measure the formation and turnover of recombination intermediates at the indicated time points following transfer of synchronized cells to SPM in *MEK1-GST* and *MEK1-GST(nd)* strains. DNA samples in this experiment are psoralen crosslinked. The physical structure and molecular weights corresponding to each band of a *Xho*I digest of the *HIS4::LEU2-(NBam)/his4-X::LEU2-(NBam)-URA3* recombination hot spot in the Southern blot is shown on the right [19].

(B and C) Quantification of the formation and turnover of double-strand break (DSB) products (% DSBs/total DNA) and crossover products (% crossovers/total DNA) from the Southern blot in (A), respectively. See also [Figure S2](#).

Repair of DSBs Is Delayed in *MEK1-GST*

To explore the effect of the *MEK1-GST* allele on modifying outcomes of meiotic recombination, we analyzed the physical intermediates and products of recombination at the *HIS4LEU2* hot spot locus (Figure 2A; [19]). We found that steady-state levels of DSBs and slower migrating joint molecule species were greater in the *MEK1-GST* strain compared to *MEK1-GST(nd)* (Figure 2B). Consistent with this finding was the observation that crossover formation, one outcome of DSB repair, was delayed in *MEK1-GST* compared with *MEK1-GST(nd)* (Figure 2C). We next wanted to know whether or not the increase in steady-state levels of DSBs corresponded to an increase in their formation. We used a *sae2Δ* mutant background in which DSBs are not turned over because their resection and repair are blocked. We found that DSB levels were similar in *MEK1-GST sae2Δ* and *sae2Δ* mutants, suggesting that the higher levels of breaks are due to their slower turnover (Figures S2A and S2B). We also measured break levels in a *dmc1* mutant background in which breaks form and are resected but are unable to use a homolog as a substrate for repair [1–3]. DSB levels in *MEK1-GST dmc1Δ* strains were slightly reduced compared to the *dmc1Δ* single mutant, perhaps because of the inability to detect hyper-resected products by this assay (Figure S2A). Overall, these data show that although DSBs form at wild-type levels in *MEK1-GST*, their turnover is delayed.

MEK1-GST Gives a Net Gain of Interhomolog Events that Is Coupled with a Net Loss of Intersister Events, Compared to Wild-Type

Because loss of *MEK1* function is associated with loss of interhomolog bias, we reasoned that the gain-of-function mutation *MEK1-GST* might exhibit delayed turnover of DSBs as a result of a prolonged period of interhomolog recombination.

Because crossover levels in *MEK1-GST* are not increased compared to wild-type, we tested the possibility that excess interhomolog events are repaired as noncrossovers. For this test, we used a strain carrying a *HIS4LEU2* allele variant in which both crossover and noncrossover levels could be measured in the same population of cells [26, 45]. Interestingly, we found that noncrossover levels in *MEK1-GST* were 2-fold greater than in wild-type, whereas crossover levels were unchanged (Figures 3A–3D).

We reasoned that the increase in noncrossover products could arise from breaks that would otherwise have been repaired using a sister chromatid as a template. We tested whether or not intersister events, including both intersister-dHJ and mcJMs, were reduced in *MEK1-GST* compared to wild-type by using two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (Figure 4A) [26, 46]. This analysis was carried out in an *ndt80Δ* mutant background, which arrests prior to the exit from pachytene and prevents turnover of the majority of joint-molecule species to final products. In this way, the absolute levels of these intermediates can be directly compared [18, 26, 47]. Indeed, we observed that intermediates of DNA repair events involving sister chromatids were reduced in *MEK1-GST* compared to wild-type, with a greater loss of mcJMs compared to IS-dHJ. By contrast, the total levels of intermediates that give rise to interhomolog crossover products, including SEIs and dHJs, were the same in *MEK1-GST* compared to wild-type (Figures 4B–4D). Together, these results suggest that *MEK1-GST* promotes interhomolog recombination events at the expense of intersister recombination. Moreover, the increased level of interhomolog interactions is specifically directed to noncrossover products, suggesting that double-strand breaks are designated for repair as crossovers prior to the activation of *MEK1-GST* by ectopic dimerization. These data also indicate that the




Figure 3. Noncrossover Recombination Products Are Increased in *MEK1-GST* Compared to Wild-Type, whereas Crossover Levels Are Unchanged
(A) Schematic of the *HIS4::LEU2-(BamHI)/his4-X::LEU2-(NgoMIV)* hot spot (left) and the timing of noncrossover and crossover formation in wild-type (WT) and *MEK1-GST*. DNA was isolated from synchronous meiotic cultures and digested with *XhoI* and *NgoMIV* prior to Southern analysis. At this hot spot, the DSB can occur at either the *BamHI* chromosome or the *NgoMIV* chromosome (the chance is equal). By digesting with *XhoI* and *NgoMIV*, there are four species of crossover products. CO4 is the same size as the DAD band (3.0 kb). Further details of this hot spot are described in Martini et al., 2006 and Oh et al., 2007 [26, 45].
(B and C) Quantification of noncrossover (NCO1) and crossover (CO2) levels at indicated times, respectively.
(D) Quantification of crossover (CO) and noncrossover (NCO) levels at $t = 12$ hr after transfer to SPM. Mean \pm standard deviation of four independent cultures is shown. Noncrossover levels are significantly higher in *MEK1* compared to *MEK1-GST* ($p < 0.05$) by paired t test.

increased steady-state levels of JM seen by one-dimensional Southern blot analysis (Figure 2A) are due to slow turnover of JM into downstream products.

The MI Delay Conferred by *rad17Δ* or *pch2Δ* Single Mutants Is Exacerbated When *MEK1-GST* Is Present

Both Rad17, a component of mitotic DNA damage checkpoint, and Pch2, an AAA-ATPase-like protein, are involved in checkpoint surveillance during meiosis [33–35, 39, 40]. Single mutants of *rad17Δ* and *pch2Δ* exhibit an MI delay, presumably because each mutant generates a lesion that activates the other's checkpoint function [39]. We tested the epistatic relationship between the delay conferred by *MEK1-GST* and that of either *rad17Δ* or *pch2Δ*. We found that *MEK1-GST rad17Δ* and *MEK1 pch2Δ* strains were further delayed compared to any of the three single mutants (Figure S1B). Spore viability of *MEK1-GST rad17Δ* was increased compared to *rad17Δ* (63% versus 34%), as were crossover levels measured at a late time point, albeit not to wild-type levels (Table S1; Figure S1C). Spore viability of the *MEK1-GST pch2Δ* double mutant remained high, just as it did in the *pch2Δ* single mutant (Table S1), even though fewer cells of the *MEK1-GST pch2Δ* genotype sporulated. Crossover levels were substantially reduced, but this is likely due to the severe MI delay observed for the double mutant (Figure S1C). T327 phosphorylation of Mek1-GST occurs in both *rad17Δ* and *pch2Δ* single-mutant strains (Figure 1D). The MI delays conferred by either *rad17Δ* or *pch2Δ* are bypassed by *mek1Δ* (Figures S1D and S1E). Because *rad17Δ* and *pch2Δ* function in different processes associated with recombination and checkpoint signaling, interpretation of the double-mutant phenotypes must be made cautiously [33, 34, 39, 40, 48–51]. Minimally,

these data indicate that the delay conferred by *MEK1-GST* is not simply due to the activation of either of the Rad17- or Pch2-dependent checkpoint pathways. Below we address the interaction of *MEK1-GST* with the *rad17Δ pch2Δ* double mutant in which both recombination checkpoint functions are absent.

MEK1-GST Promotes Interhomolog Bias in the Absence of Both *RAD17*- and *PCH2*-Dependent Checkpoint Functions

We showed previously that the *rad17Δ pch2Δ* double mutant progresses rapidly through MI, produces reduced levels of crossover products, and gives <1% spore viability. The double mutant is also defective in checkpoint signaling, because MI divisions still occur in mutant strain backgrounds that accumulate unrepaired DSBs [39]. We reasoned that if the *MEK1-GST*-imposed delay was due to the activation of the recombination checkpoint, then the *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ* triple mutant would divide with the same kinetics as the *rad17Δ pch2Δ* double mutant. To our surprise, the *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ* triple mutant gave a delayed MI phenotype compared to *rad17Δ pch2Δ* (Figure 5A), and spore viability was increased from <1% to 47% (Table S1). This suppression of spore inviability in *rad17Δ pch2Δ* was semi-dominant, because spore viability in *MEK1-GST/MEK1* heterozygous background was ~29% (Table S1). Physical analysis of DSB repair in the *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ* triple mutant indicated that DSB turnover was slower, yet crossover products were elevated (Figures 5B–5D). These results indicate that *MEK1-GST* can uphold interhomolog bias even in the absence of the recombination checkpoint.

We ruled out the possibility that another DNA damage checkpoint was activated in the *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ*




Figure 4. The Levels of Intersister-Double-Holliday Junction and Multichromatid Joint Molecules Are Decreased in *MEK1-GST* Compared to WT

(A) Position of DNA joint molecules on Southern blot of a 2D gel from synchronized cultures of *ndt80Δ* after transfer to SPM. The identity of the spot denoted by the asterisk is not known.
(B) Southern blots of 2D gels from a representative time course of *ndt80Δ* and *ndt80Δ MEK1-GST*. DNA samples in this experiment are psoralen crosslinked. The relative areas used for quantification of intersister- and interhomolog-double-Holliday junction (dHJ) are as indicated in the bottom panel.
(C) Quantification of joint molecule (JM) structures from time course shown in (B).
(D) Quantification of JM structures from two independent time courses, including time points ranging from 6.5 hr to 11.5 hr. Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of the value of the ratio of single-end invasion (SEI), interhomolog-dHJ, and intersister-dHJ joint molecules in *ndt80Δ MEK1-GST* strain compared to WT. In *ndt80Δ MEK1-GST*, intersister-dHJs are decreased to 82% of WT levels ($p = 0.035$) by paired t test. Interhomolog-dHJ and SEI in *MEK1-GST* are not significantly different from WT ($p = 0.283$ and $p = 0.619$, respectively). The authors were able to visually assign all relevant blots with the correct strains in a blind analysis.

triple mutant. First, we found that the addition of the *dmc1Δ* mutation to the triple mutant background did not lead to MI arrest, as would be expected if another checkpoint function was activated. In fact, *dmc1Δ MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ* MI kinetics were indistinguishable from the *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ* triple mutant (Figures 6A and 6B). Second, we showed that these cells divided even though DSBs were not fully repaired, as indicated by physical analysis at the *HIS4LEU2* hot spot (Figure 6C). In addition, DAPI-stained chromosomes in the quadruple mutant background were fragmented (data not shown), consistent with the presence of unrepaired breaks.

***MEK1-GST* Increases the Stringency of the Meiotic Recombination Checkpoint Response**

The delay phenotype conferred by *MEK1-GST* suggests that it may be influencing the regulation of MI division, independent of upstream signaling functions. We next wanted to see what effect *MEK1-GST* would have in mutants that exhibit checkpoint-mediated delay but eventually go on to divide. As shown previously, deletion of *ZIP1*, *NDJ1*, and *CSM4* results in

checkpoint-mediated MI delay, but the majority of cells ultimately divide, albeit with low spore viability (Figures 7A–7C; Table S1) [52–54]. Interestingly, the *MEK1-GST zip1Δ* double mutant exhibited near-complete MI arrest, unlike the single mutants (Figure 7A). For the *MEK1-GST ndj1Δ* and *MEK1-GST csm4Δ* double mutants, MI division timing was further delayed compared to either single mutant, and a greater fraction of cells failed to undergo the MI division; however, crossover levels were not affected (Figures 7B and 7C; Figures S3A and S3B).

We next asked whether the effect of *MEK1-GST* on MI delay or arrest in these double-mutant situations was due to a synergistic defect causing an accumulation of recombination intermediates that in turn led to a persistent checkpoint response. We measured DSB formation and repair at the *HIS4LEU2* locus in *zip1Δ* and *MEK1-GST zip1Δ* mutants and found that DSBs were efficiently repaired in both situations (Figure 7D). We ruled out the possibility that the failure to detect breaks is due to hyper-resection of 5' ends by analyzing full-length chromosome fragments using clamped homogenous electric field gel analysis. There was no smear that would indicate unrepaired,




Figure 5. MEK1-GST Restores Interhomolog Bias in the Absence of RAD17- and PCH2-Dependent Surveillance and Signaling Functions
(A) Percentage of cells in synchronized meiotic cultures that have undergone the first meiotic division at the indicated time points in WT, *rad17Δ pch2Δ*, *MEK1-GST*, and *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ*.
(B) Southern blot and quantification of recombination intermediates and crossovers at the *HIS4LEU2* hot spot in WT, *rad17Δ pch2Δ*, *MEK1-GST*, and *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ*. For each strain, the 0, 2.5, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 6, 8, and 13 hr time points were analyzed. DNA samples in this experiment are Psoralen crosslinked. † denotes DNA fragments arising from ectopic recombination between *HIS4LEU2* and the linked, endogenous *leu2* locus [34].
(C) Quantification of the formation and turnover of DSB products (% DSBs/total DNA), crossover products (% COs/total DNA) and percent post-first meiotic division (MI) cells from the Southern blot experiment is shown in (B).
(D) Final crossover levels/total DNA in WT and checkpoint mutants used in (B) and (C). Mean \pm SD of three independent cultures is shown. The asterisks indicate that the differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) by paired t test. Results presented in (A)–(C) are from the same time course.

hyper-resected DSBs in *MEK1-GST zip1Δ* (Figure S3C). These data indicate that the increased delay or arrest conferred by *MEK1-GST* is not due to the failure to repair DSBs, as far as our limits of detection can discern. Together, these results suggest that once Mek1-GST is dimerized and/or activated, it is less able than wild-type to deactivate and shut off an inhibitory effect on MI division, even when checkpoint-activating lesions have been repaired.

Strikingly, compared to each single mutant, *MEK1-GST* rescued the decreased spore viability patterns conferred by *ndj1Δ* (75% versus 90%) and *csm4Δ* (64% versus 87%; Table S1). The *zip1Δ MEK1-GST* double mutant gave no spore products for analysis. For *ndj1Δ* and *csm4Δ* mutants, we classified tetrads into four categories according to the number of viable spores per tetrad (Figure 7E). Although all aberrant classes were suppressed to some extent in the presence of the *MEK1-GST* allele, 2:2 and 0:4 classes were significantly reduced in the *ndj1Δ* and *csm4Δ* backgrounds. Combined, these results suggest that *MEK1-GST* selectively suppresses MI division in *ndj1Δ* or *csm4Δ* cells that were unsuccessful in the maturation of chromosome structures that facilitate meiosis I disjunction.

Discussion

Our findings provide insight into the roles of the chromosome axis-associated protein Mek1 in meiotic recombination and in

regulating the exit from meiosis I in budding yeast. We show evidence that Mek1 kinase has multiple targets that include proteins involved in suppressing sister chromatid recombination, as well as those that function to regulate the exit from pachytene. We found that ectopic Mek1 dimerization impacted events of meiosis I prophase in two ways: (1) by enhancing interhomolog bias, perhaps through the prolonged or premature activation of a Mek1 target substrate such as Rad54 [43], and (2) by inhibiting the reentry into the cell cycle following checkpoint-mediated arrest in certain mutant situations, perhaps through the persistent phosphorylation of a protein that regulates the exit from pachytene.

Crossover/Noncrossover Designation May Be Imposed Prior to or Concomitant with Mek1 Dimerization

An outstanding problem in the field of meiosis has been to define the point among the myriad chromosomal processes when DSBs are designated for crossover repair versus noncrossover repair. Crossover designation is thought to occur at early stages of meiosis I prophase, prior to formation of SEI joint molecule formation [17–21]; however, there is evidence that single-stranded 3' ends of DSBs may sample a number of substrates, including sister chromatids, prior to being committed for repair as a crossover [26]. It is conceivable, then, that crossover designation occurs prior to or concomitant with Mek1 dimerization, after which point the remaining breaks would be repaired as either noncrossovers




Figure 6. *MEK1-GST* Imposes a Delay Independent of Meiotic Checkpoint

(A and B) Percentage of cells in synchronized meiotic cultures that have undergone the first meiotic division. Strains used include WT, *MEK1-GST*, *dmc1Δ*, *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ*, *dmc1Δ MEK1-GST*, and *dmc1Δ MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ*.

(C) Southern blot analysis of *MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ* versus *dmc1Δ MEK1-GST rad17Δ pch2Δ* DSB formation and turnover (% DSBs/total DNA), CO formation (% COs/total DNA), and post MI kinetics (% post MI/total DNA) are shown. DNA samples in this experiment are not Psoralen crosslinked. Two slower-migrating bands appeared in the quadruple mutant at late time points, after the majority of cells had already undergone MI division. The migration pattern of these bands is reminiscent of hairpin structures that form from hyperextended 3' ends of DSBs at *HIS4LEU2* locus in the *rad52* mutants [47]. † denotes meiosis-specific hybridization bands, probably ectopic recombination that resulted from *HIS4LEU2* recombining with the *leu2* locus [34].

or using sister chromatids, with the former being favored because of Mek1 dimerization and/or activation. This model would predict that artificially prolonging the period of interhomolog bias or premature activation of Mek1 might result in an increase in noncrossover products and a decrease in the levels of intersister joint molecules, with no change in crossover levels; the *MEK1-GST* allele confers this same constellation of phenotypes.

Interestingly, the loss of intersister interactions observed in the presence of Mek1-GST comes largely from a pool of mcJM species, which are aberrant products involving three or four chromatids that occur in an unchallenged meiosis [26]. Our findings using *MEK1-GST* suggest that Mek1 antagonizes mcJM formation, as is also the case for Sgs1 [26].

Sheridan and Bishop have postulated that disassembly of axial constraints at the end of prophase could activate the “clean up” of residual DSBs via intersister recombination [55]. This constraint might be mechanistically tied to the active state of a Mek1 target substrate and the slow turnover of DSBs that we observe. If this constraint were prolonged because of an artificially maintained active state of Mek1, more breaks could end up being repaired via interhomolog noncrossover recombination rather than via a sister chromatid.

Our finding that *MEK1-GST* preserves crossover levels, even when total interhomolog events are increased, is reminiscent of “crossover homeostasis.” Crossover homeostasis has

been observed in situations in which the number of crossovers per chromosome remains constant even when the total number of breaks is reduced, as in a *spo11* hypomorph [45, 56]. Our results indicate that the converse is also true: when the total number of interhomolog events per chromosome is increased, as in the case of *MEK1-GST*, crossover levels remain unchanged. This phenomenon indicates a possible late role for Mek1-GST impacting the output of the crossover/noncrossover decision. In either case, the dimerization and/or activation of Mek1 may serve as a regulatory landmark to couple these two processes.

Dual Roles for Mek1 in Interhomolog Bias and Recombination Checkpoint Signaling

Findings to date suggest that early stages of meiotic recombination checkpoint function and interhomolog bias are inextricably linked. Both checkpoint signaling and partner choice require the activation of the ATM/ATR signaling pathways [31, 33]. It is conceivable that Mek1 acts solely to ensure that the interhomolog bias is upheld, primarily through its role in phosphorylating Rad54 or other similar targets [43]. On the other hand, Mek1 is similar to the Rad53 DNA damage checkpoint kinase that acts downstream of ATM/ATR in vegetative cells and regulates a wide range of targets in response to DNA damage [57]. Although Rad53 is phosphorylated in response to unrepaired DSBs during MI, it does not affect cell-cycle arrest until after the MI division [42, 58]. Thus, Mek1 is




Figure 7. MEK1-GST Exhibits a Hyper-Checkpoint Function

(A–C) Percentage of cells in synchronized meiotic cultures that have undergone the first meiotic division at the indicated time points in WT, MEK1-GST, *zip1Δ*, MEK1-GST *zip1Δ*, *ndj1Δ*, MEK1-GST *ndj1Δ*, *csm4Δ*, and MEK1-GST *csm4Δ*.

(D) Southern blot and quantification of *zip1Δ* compared to MEK1-GST *zip1Δ*.

(E) Categories of tetrads from *ndj1Δ* and *csm4Δ* mutants (viable:inviable). A 2:2 pattern of viable to nonviable spores is expected from an MI nondisjunction event. A 3:1 pattern might arise by nondisjunction in MII or premature sister chromatid segregation in MI. The 0:4 and 1:3 classes indicate multiple meiosis I and/or meiosis II nondisjunction events, so they were not further considered in this analysis. Only four spore tetrads were analyzed. The asterisks indicate that the differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) by Fisher's exact test. Note: the strains used in (A) do not contain the *HIS4LEU2* hot spot and are described in the strain (Table S2). See also Figure S3.

an attractive candidate for maintaining recombination-induced arrest during and after the formation of interhomolog connections.

It would seem reasonable to consider that by extending the period of interhomolog bias, there would be slower turnover of DSBs, which in turn would activate a checkpoint-mediated delay from pachytene exit. We were surprised, however, to find that MEK1-GST conferred an MI delay even when the Rad17- and Pch2-dependent recombination checkpoint functions were absent. We were able to rule out the possibility that MEK1-GST activated an alternative DNA damage pathway (e.g., Rad9/Rad53) in this situation because deletion of *DMC1* in this strain background did not induce an additional MI delay or arrest phenotype. These data suggest that Mek1 dimerization can influence the regulation of prophase exit even in the absence of surveillance and signaling functions of Rad17 and Pch2. The increased period of interhomolog bias can thus account for the delay observed in the MI division and the turnover of DSBs in MEK1-GST cells. We suspect that Mek1-GST exhibits at least some transient association or activation within the chromosome loop-axis structure that has experienced a Spo11-induced DSB, independent of Hop1 phosphorylation by ATM/ATR. This could be true for wild-type Mek1 as well.

Checkpoint Stringency Can Be Modulated by Controlling Exit from Pachytene Arrest

Deletion of a subset of meiotic genes leads to a checkpoint-induced MI delay, followed by a division that gives rise to inviable spore products. We found that MEK1-GST exacerbates the MI delay phenotype conferred by the *ndj1Δ* and *csm4Δ* mutations and even blocks MI division in a subset of those cells. The observed increase in spore viability for the double mutants suggests that those cells arrested in the presence of MEK1-GST may represent the same pool of cells that would have otherwise gone on to form inviable spore products.

What mechanism prevents MI division in a subset of cells in *ndj1Δ/csm4Δ* mutants and complete arrest in *zip1Δ* when MEK1-GST is present? Conversely, why do *zip1Δ*, *ndj1Δ*, *csm4Δ* single mutants (with wild-type MEK1) proceed through MI division, only to give rise to inviable spore products? To accommodate the delay and/or arrest phenotype conferred by the *zip1Δ*, *ndj1Δ*, and *csm4Δ* mutants in combination with MEK1-GST, we propose that prolonged dimerization/activation of MEK1-GST inhibits the reentry into the cell cycle following checkpoint-mediated arrest in these mutant backgrounds, perhaps through the persistent phosphorylation of a protein that regulates the exit from pachytene. Based on these data, we suggest that Mek1 phosphorylates at least

one target that is directly involved in the meiotic recombination checkpoint in a challenged meiosis in addition to its targets that are directly involved in interhomolog bias.

One possibility is that *MEK1-GST* inhibits the process of checkpoint recovery. Checkpoint recovery occurs when signaling through the checkpoint response has ended and cells reenter the cell cycle [59]. To date, checkpoint recovery has been described only in nonmeiotic cells of yeast, so this would provide the first observation of the phenomenon in meiosis. Another possibility is that *MEK1-GST*-mediated arrest in the *zip1Δ*, *ndj1Δ*, and *csm4Δ* mutant situations is due to inhibition of the checkpoint adaptation response in which divisions occur even though lesions are not repaired [38]. This seems less likely, because unrepaired DSBs do not accumulate in the *MEK1-GST zip1Δ* mutant, as would be expected if adaptation were inhibited. On the other hand, there could be a low level of breaks that were not detected with the assays we used here.

It is curious to consider why an intact checkpoint would not function to maximize spore viability in a “challenged meiosis.” It is perhaps advantageous for yeast to progress quickly through sporulation, because the resultant spore stage is resistant to myriad environmental insults. Sexually dimorphic levels of checkpoint stringency observed in animals [60] may be determined in part through the modulation of a similar checkpoint-related kinase. To date, Mek1 orthologs have only been found in fungi. In *S. pombe*, the Mek1 ortholog phosphorylates Cdc25 phosphatase and causes arrest prior to MI [61]. Because Cdc25 is also a target of Chk1/Chk2 kinases in mitotic checkpoint pathways in metazoans [62], Chk1/Chk2 might play a role similar to Mek1 to uphold checkpoint stringency during meiosis in higher eukaryotes.

Experimental Procedures

Standard methods were used to construct yeast strains, synchronize meiotic cells, and prepare DNA and proteins samples for analysis by Southern and western blotting, respectively. Detailed methods are described in the [Supplemental Experimental Procedures](#).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, three figures, and two tables and can be found with this article online at [doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.09.016).

Acknowledgments

We thank JoAnne Engebrecht, Joshua Chang Mell, Neil Hunter, and Michael Lichten for discussions and thoughtful comments on the manuscript. We thank Michael Lichten for sharing unpublished results. We also thank the Hunter laboratory for strains. National Institutes of Health grant RO1GM075119 to S.M.B. supported this work.

Received: December 9, 2009

Revised: June 8, 2010

Accepted: August 24, 2010

Published online: September 30, 2010

References

- Keeney, S. (2001). Mechanism and control of meiotic recombination initiation. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 52, 1–53.
- Bhalla, N., and Dernburg, A.F. (2008). Prelude to a division. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 24, 397–424.
- Hunter, N. (2006). Meiotic recombination. In *Molecular Genetics of Homologous Recombination*, A. Aguilera and R. Rothstein, eds. (Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag), pp. 381–442.
- Moses, M.J. (1968). Synaptonemal complex. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 2, 363–412.
- Page, S.L., and Hawley, R.S. (2004). The genetics and molecular biology of the synaptonemal complex. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 20, 525–558.
- Rockmill, B., and Roeder, G.S. (1988). *RED1*: A yeast gene required for the segregation of chromosomes during the reductional division of meiosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85, 6057–6061.
- Rockmill, B., and Roeder, G.S. (1991). A meiosis-specific protein kinase homolog required for chromosome synapsis and recombination. *Genes Dev.* 5 (12B), 2392–2404.
- Sym, M., Engebrecht, J.A., and Roeder, G.S. (1993). *ZIP1* is a synaptonemal complex protein required for meiotic chromosome synapsis. *Cell* 72, 365–378.
- Hollingsworth, N.M., and Ponte, L. (1997). Genetic interactions between *HOP1*, *RED1* and *MEK1* suggest that *MEK1* regulates assembly of axial element components during meiosis in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 147, 33–42.
- Smith, A.V., and Roeder, G.S. (1997). The yeast Red1 protein localizes to the cores of meiotic chromosomes. *J. Cell Biol.* 136, 957–967.
- Hollingsworth, N.M., and Byers, B. (1989). *HOP1*: A yeast meiotic pairing gene. *Genetics* 121, 445–462.
- Davidow, L.S., and Byers, B. (1984). Enhanced gene conversion and postmeiotic segregation in pachytene-arrested *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 106, 165–183.
- Shuster, E.O., and Byers, B. (1989). Pachytene arrest and other meiotic effects of the start mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 123, 29–43.
- Bergerat, A., de Massy, B., Gadelle, D., Varoutas, P.-C., Nicolas, A., and Forterre, P. (1997). An atypical topoisomerase II from Archaea with implications for meiotic recombination. *Nature* 386, 414–417.
- Keeney, S., Giroux, C.N., and Kleckner, N. (1997). Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. *Cell* 88, 375–384.
- Blat, Y., Protacio, R.U., Hunter, N., and Kleckner, N. (2002). Physical and functional interactions among basic chromosome organizational features govern early steps of meiotic chiasma formation. *Cell* 111, 791–802.
- Schwacha, A., and Kleckner, N. (1995). Identification of double Holliday junctions as intermediates in meiotic recombination. *Cell* 83, 783–791.
- Allers, T., and Lichten, M. (2001). Differential timing and control of noncrossover and crossover recombination during meiosis. *Cell* 106, 47–57.
- Hunter, N., and Kleckner, N. (2001). The single-end invasion: An asymmetric intermediate at the double-strand break to double-Holliday junction transition of meiotic recombination. *Cell* 106, 59–70.
- Clyne, R.K., Katis, V.L., Jessop, L., Benjamin, K.R., Herskowitz, I., Lichten, M., and Nasmyth, K. (2003). Polo-like kinase Cdc5 promotes chiasmata formation and cosegregation of sister centromeres at meiosis I. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 5, 480–485.
- Börner, G.V., Kleckner, N., and Hunter, N. (2004). Crossover/noncrossover differentiation, synaptonemal complex formation, and regulatory surveillance at the leptotene/zygotene transition of meiosis. *Cell* 117, 29–45.
- Pâques, F., and Haber, J.E. (1999). Multiple pathways of recombination induced by double-strand breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 63, 349–404.
- Jessop, L., Rockmill, B., Roeder, G.S., and Lichten, M. (2006). Meiotic chromosome synapsis-promoting proteins antagonize the anti-crossover activity of *sgs1*. *PLoS Genet.* 2, e155.
- McMahill, M.S., Sham, C.W., and Bishop, D.K. (2007). Synthesis-dependent strand annealing in meiosis. *PLoS Biol.* 5, e299.
- de los Santos, T., Hunter, N., Lee, C., Larkin, B., Loidl, J., and Hollingsworth, N.M. (2003). The Mus81/Mms4 endonuclease acts independently of double-Holliday junction resolution to promote a distinct subset of crossovers during meiosis in budding yeast. *Genetics* 164, 81–94.
- Oh, S.D., Lao, J.P., Hwang, P.Y., Taylor, A.F., Smith, G.R., and Hunter, N. (2007). BLM ortholog, Sgs1, prevents aberrant crossing-over by suppressing formation of multichromatid joint molecules. *Cell* 130, 259–272.
- Chu, S., and Herskowitz, I. (1998). Gametogenesis in yeast is regulated by a transcriptional cascade dependent on Ndt80. *Mol. Cell* 1, 685–696.
- Tung, K.S., Hong, E.J., and Roeder, G.S. (2000). The pachytene checkpoint prevents accumulation and phosphorylation of the meiosis-specific transcription factor Ndt80. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 12187–12192.

29. Roeder, G.S., and Bailis, J.M. (2000). The pachytene checkpoint. *Trends Genet.* **16**, 395–403.
30. Bailis, J.M., and Roeder, G.S. (2000). Pachytene exit controlled by reversal of Mek1-dependent phosphorylation. *Cell* **101**, 211–221.
31. Carballo, J.A., Johnson, A.L., Sedgwick, S.G., and Cha, R.S. (2008). Phosphorylation of the axial element protein Hop1 by Mec1/Tel1 ensures meiotic interhomolog recombination. *Cell* **132**, 758–770.
32. Niu, H., Wan, L., Baumgartner, B., Schaefer, D., Loidl, J., and Hollingsworth, N.M. (2005). Partner choice during meiosis is regulated by Hop1-promoted dimerization of Mek1. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **16**, 5804–5818.
33. Lydall, D., Nikolsky, Y., Bishop, D.K., and Weinert, T. (1996). A meiotic recombination checkpoint controlled by mitotic checkpoint genes. *Nature* **383**, 840–843.
34. Grushcow, J.M., Holzen, T.M., Park, K.J., Weinert, T., Lichten, M., and Bishop, D.K. (1999). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* checkpoint genes *MEC1*, *RAD17* and *RAD24* are required for normal meiotic recombination partner choice. *Genetics* **153**, 607–620.
35. San-Segundo, P.A., and Roeder, G.S. (1999). Pch2 links chromatin silencing to meiotic checkpoint control. *Cell* **97**, 313–324.
36. San-Segundo, P.A., and Roeder, G.S. (2000). Role for the silencing protein Dot1 in meiotic checkpoint control. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **11**, 3601–3615.
37. Hong, E.J., and Roeder, G.S. (2002). A role for Ddc1 in signaling meiotic double-strand breaks at the pachytene checkpoint. *Genes Dev.* **16**, 363–376.
38. Hochwagen, A., Tham, W.H., Brar, G.A., and Amon, A. (2005). The FK506 binding protein Fpr3 counteracts protein phosphatase 1 to maintain meiotic recombination checkpoint activity. *Cell* **122**, 861–873.
39. Wu, H.-Y., and Burgess, S.M. (2006). Two distinct surveillance mechanisms monitor meiotic chromosome metabolism in budding yeast. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, 2473–2479.
40. Shinohara, M., Sakai, K., Ogawa, T., and Shinohara, A. (2003). The mitotic DNA damage checkpoint proteins Rad17 and Rad24 are required for repair of double-strand breaks during meiosis in yeast. *Genetics* **164**, 855–865.
41. Joyce, E.F., and McKim, K.S. (2009). *Drosophila PCH2* is required for a pachytene checkpoint that monitors double-strand-break-independent events leading to meiotic crossover formation. *Genetics* **181**, 39–51.
42. Xu, L., Weiner, B.M., and Kleckner, N. (1997). Meiotic cells monitor the status of the interhomolog recombination complex. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 106–118.
43. Niu, H., Wan, L., Busygina, V., Kwon, Y., Allen, J.A., Li, X., Kunz, R.C., Kubota, K., Wang, B., Sung, P., et al. (2009). Regulation of meiotic recombination via Mek1-mediated Rad54 phosphorylation. *Mol. Cell* **36**, 393–404.
44. Niu, H., Li, X., Job, E., Park, C., Moazed, D., Gygi, S.P., and Hollingsworth, N.M. (2007). Mek1 kinase is regulated to suppress double-strand break repair between sister chromatids during budding yeast meiosis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **27**, 5456–5467.
45. Martini, E., Diaz, R.L., Hunter, N., and Keeney, S. (2006). Crossover homeostasis in yeast meiosis. *Cell* **126**, 285–295.
46. Schwacha, A., and Kleckner, N. (1994). Identification of joint molecules that form frequently between homologs but rarely between sister chromatids during yeast meiosis. *Cell* **76**, 51–63.
47. Lao, J.P., Oh, S.D., Shinohara, M., Shinohara, A., and Hunter, N. (2008). Rad52 promotes postinvasion steps of meiotic double-strand-break repair. *Mol. Cell* **29**, 517–524.
48. Li, X.C., Li, X., and Schimenti, J.C. (2007). Mouse pachytene checkpoint 2 (trip13) is required for completing meiotic recombination but not synapsis. *PLoS Genet.* **3**, e130.
49. Zanders, S., and Alani, E. (2009). The *pch2Δ* mutation in baker's yeast alters meiotic crossover levels and confers a defect in crossover interference. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000571.
50. Joshi, N., Barot, A., Jamison, C., and Börner, G.V. (2009). Pch2 links chromosome axis remodeling at future crossover sites and crossover distribution during yeast meiosis. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000557.
51. Börner, G.V., Barot, A., and Kleckner, N. (2008). Yeast Pch2 promotes domainal axis organization, timely recombination progression, and arrest of defective recombinosomes during meiosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 3327–3332.
52. Wu, H.-Y., and Burgess, S.M. (2006). Ndj1, a telomere-associated protein, promotes meiotic recombination in budding yeast. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**, 3683–3694.
53. Kosaka, H., Shinohara, M., and Shinohara, A. (2008). Csm4-dependent telomere movement on nuclear envelope promotes meiotic recombination. *PLoS Genet.* **4**, e1000196.
54. Wanat, J.J., Kim, K.P., Koszul, R., Zanders, S., Weiner, B., Kleckner, N., and Alani, E. (2008). Csm4, in collaboration with Ndj1, mediates telomere-led chromosome dynamics and recombination during yeast meiosis. *PLoS Genet.* **4**, e1000188.
55. Sheridan, S., and Bishop, D.K. (2006). Red-Hed regulation: Recombinase Rad51, though capable of playing the leading role, may be relegated to supporting Dmc1 in budding yeast meiosis. *Genes Dev.* **20**, 1685–1691.
56. Henderson, K.A., and Keeney, S. (2004). Tying synaptonemal complex initiation to the formation and programmed repair of DNA double-strand breaks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 4519–4524.
57. Smolka, M.B., Albuquerque, C.P., Chen, S.H., and Zhou, H. (2007). Proteome-wide identification of in vivo targets of DNA damage checkpoint kinases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 10364–10369.
58. Cartagena-Lirola, H., Guerini, I., Manfrini, N., Lucchini, G., and Longhese, M.P. (2008). Role of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Rad53 checkpoint kinase in signaling double-strand breaks during the meiotic cell cycle. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **28**, 4480–4493.
59. Vaze, M.B., Pelliccioli, A., Lee, S.E., Ira, G., Liberi, G., Arbel-Eden, A., Foiani, M., and Haber, J.E. (2002). Recovery from checkpoint-mediated arrest after repair of a double-strand break requires Srs2 helicase. *Mol. Cell* **10**, 373–385.
60. Hassold, T., and Hunt, P. (2001). To err (meiotically) is human: The genesis of human aneuploidy. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**, 280–291.
61. Pérez-Hidalgo, L., Moreno, S., and San-Segundo, P.A. (2008). The fission yeast meiotic checkpoint kinase Mek1 regulates nuclear localization of Cdc25 by phosphorylation. *Cell Cycle* **7**, 3720–3730.
62. Donzelli, M., and Draetta, G.F. (2003). Regulating mammalian checkpoints through Cdc25 inactivation. *EMBO Rep.* **4**, 671–677.